

Rayleigh triangles and non-matrix interpolation of matrix beta-integrals

YU. A. NERETIN¹

A Rayleigh triangle of size n is a collection of $n(n+1)/2$ real numbers λ_{kl} , where $1 \leq l \leq k \leq n$; these numbers decrease with growth of k for fixed l and increase with growth of k for fixed $k-l$. We construct a family of beta-integrals over the space of Rayleigh triangles; these integrals interpolate the matrix beta-integrals of the Siegel, Hua Loo Keng and Gindikin types with respect to the dimension of the basic field ($\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$ or the quaternions $\mathbb{H}$). We also interpolate the Hua–Pickrell measures on the inverse limits of the symmetric spaces $U(n)$, $U(n)/O(n)$, $U(2n)/Sp(n)$.

Our family of integrals also includes the Selberg integral.

0.1. Interpolation of homogeneous spaces. It is well known that the representation theory of semisimple groups admits a partial interpolation on the level of special functions. The most old (and the most simple) construction of this kind is the Hankel transform [1], [2]. Let f be a function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ depending only on $r = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{1/2}$. Consider its Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(a) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(r) e^{-iax} dx.$$

Averaging e^{iax} over spheres of radius $\rho = (a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2)^{1/2}$, we obtain that $\hat{f}(\rho)$ is given by

$$\hat{f}(\rho) = H_n f(\rho) := \int_0^\infty J_{n/2-1}(r\rho) f(r) r^{n/2} dr, \quad (0.1)$$

where $J_\nu(z)$ is a Bessel function

$$J_\nu(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2m+\nu}}{m! \Gamma(m+\nu+1)}.$$

Obviously, the operator H_n is a unitary operator in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, r^{n-1} dr)$ and satisfies to the property $H_n^2 = 1$.

By our construction, the number n is integer, since n is the dimension of the space $\mathbb{R}^n$. Nevertheless the *Hankel transform*² (0.1) is well defined for all complex n satisfying the condition $\operatorname{Re} n > 0$. By the Hankel theorem, for all n the identity $H_n^2 = 1$ holds; moreover for real $n > 0$ the operator H_n is unitary in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, r^{n-1} dr)$.

Similarly, the index hypergeometric transform for some exceptional values of its parameters gives the spherical transforms for all the hyperbolic symmetric spaces ([3], [4], [5]). For semisimple groups of rang > 1 the interpolation can be performed only for functions depending on eigenvalues or singular values of

¹Supported in part by NWO Grant 047-008-009

²We use a nonstandard notation for the Hankel transform.

matrices. Various topics related to this interpolation are discussed [6], [7], [8], [9], [10].

0.2. Purposes of the paper. This paper has two aims:

— to construct an interpolation of the Hua–Pickrell measures ([11], [12], [13], [14]) on the inverse limits of symmetric spaces.

— to construct an interpolation for matrix B -functions (see [15], [16]); in this case we intend to replace the dimension of the ground field $\dim \mathbb{K}$, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or quaternionic algebra $\mathbb{H}$, by an arbitrary complex number.

Recall that the second problem is not standard from the point of view of the modern interpolation ideology, since the matrix B -function is not a function of matrix eigenvalues. The both goals are achieved only partially. Namely this is done for simple groups of the series A .

Emphasis that our family of beta-integrals includes the Selberg integral.

0.3. Hua–Pickrell measures and their interpolation. Now we formulate in more details the problem about the Hua–Pickrell measures. Below we do not return to this topic and the rest of our text is a ‘play in integrals’.

By $U(n)$ we denote the group of unitary matrices of order n . Consider the map $\Upsilon : U(n+1) \rightarrow U(n)$, given by

$$\Upsilon \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & T \end{pmatrix} = T - R(1 + P)^{-1}Q,$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & T \end{pmatrix} \in U(n+1)$ is a block matrix of size $(1+n) \times (1+n)$. It can be easily checked that the image of the Haar measure on $U(n+1)$ under the map Υ is the Haar measure on $U(n)$. Hence we can construct the inverse limit $\mathfrak{U}$ of the chain

$$\dots \xleftarrow{\Upsilon} U(n-1) \xleftarrow{\Upsilon} U(n) \xleftarrow{\Upsilon} U(n+1) \xleftarrow{\Upsilon} \dots \quad (0.2)$$

Consider a sequence of matrices $g_n \in U(n)$ satisfying the condition

$$g_n = \Upsilon(g_{n+1})$$

(the set of such chains is in an one-to-one correspondence with the space $\mathfrak{U}$). Denote by $\nu_{n1}, \dots, \nu_{nn}$ the eigenvalues of the matrix g_n .

Thus, for each element of the space $\mathfrak{U}$ and each $n = 1, 2, \dots$ we assign the collection of points $\nu_{n1}, \dots, \nu_{nn}$ of the circle $|\nu| = 1$.

In other words, we obtain a stochastic process with a discrete time, its value in a moment n is an n -point subset of the circle.

The construction admits three following variations, see [12].

First, we can replace the Haar measure dg on $U(n)$ by the two-parameter family of the Hua–Pickrell measures

$$c_n(\sigma) \det(1 + g)^\sigma \det(1 + \bar{g})^{\bar{\sigma}} dg,$$

where $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$ is fixed and the normalizing factor $c_n(\sigma)$ is defined by the condition: the measure of the whole group $U(n)$ is 1. These measures form a consistent

system with respect to the maps Υ , and we again can consider the projective limit of our chain.

Second, the Hua–Pickrell measures can be replaced by a family of measures depending on an infinite family of complex parameters $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots$. In this case, the measure on the group $U(n)$ is given by

$$c_n(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots) \det(1+g)^{\sigma_n} \det(1+\bar{g})^{\bar{\sigma}_n} \times \\ \times \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \det(1+[g]_j)^{\sigma_j - \sigma_{j+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \det(1+[\bar{g}]_j)^{\bar{\sigma}_j - \bar{\sigma}_{j+1}} dg,$$

where $[g]_j$ denotes the left upper $j \times j$ block of the matrix g .

Thirdly, this construction survives for all the classical compact symmetric spaces. Consider the inverse limits $\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{O}$ and $\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Sp}$ of two chains

$$\dots \longleftarrow U(n-1)/O(n-1) \longleftarrow U(n)/O(n) \longleftarrow \dots \quad (0.3)$$

$$\dots \longleftarrow U(2n-2)/Sp(2n-2) \longleftarrow U(2n)/Sp(2n) \longleftarrow \dots \quad (0.4)$$

Apparently, the three spaces $\mathfrak{U}$, $\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{O}$, $\mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{Sp}$ themselves can not be interpolated. However our basic construction (Theorem 3.1) can be interpreted in the following way. We construct a large class of Markov stochastic processes, whose value in a moment n is an n -point subset on the circle. In particular, this class contains processes on the circle related to the chains (0.2) – (0.4).

I am grateful to G.I.Olshanski for discussion of this subject.

§ 1. Main results

1.1. Rayleigh triangles. Let A be an Hermitian $n \times n$ matrix, let B be its left upper $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ block. Denote by $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n$ the eigenvalues of the matrix A and by $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{n-1}$ the eigenvalues of the matrix B .

By the Rayleigh theorem (see for instance [17], [18]),

$$\mu_1 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \mu_3 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{n-1} \leq \mu_n. \quad (1.1)$$

We define a *Rayleigh triangle* $\mathcal{L}$ of size n as a collection of $n(n+1)/2$ real numbers

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} & & & & \lambda_{11} & & & \\ & & & & \lambda_{21} & & \lambda_{22} & \\ & & & & \lambda_{31} & & \lambda_{32} & & \lambda_{33} \\ & & & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot \\ & & & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot \\ & & & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot \\ & & & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \lambda_{n1} & & \lambda_{n2} & & \lambda_{n3} & \dots & \lambda_{n(n-2)} & & \lambda_{n(n-1)} & & \lambda_{nn}, \end{array} \quad (1.2)$$

satisfying the system of inequalities

$$\dots \leq \lambda_{(j+1)k} \leq \lambda_{jk} \leq \lambda_{(j+1)(k+1)} \leq \dots \quad (1.3)$$

for all the possible j, k . In other words, the numbers $\lambda_{j\alpha}$ decrease south-west-ward direction and increase south-east-ward.

We denote the space of all the Rayleigh triangles of the size n by $\mathcal{R}_n$. By $\mathcal{R}_n[a, b]$ we denote the set of all the Rayleigh triangles of size n satisfying the condition

$$a \leq \lambda_1^{(n)}, \quad \lambda_n^{(n)} \leq b$$

(hence all the numbers $\lambda_{j\alpha}$ lie between a and b).

It is known, that some matrix integrals can be reduced to the integration over the spaces $\mathcal{R}_n[a, b]$; at least this was a way of the evaluation of spherical functions of the group $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ in Gelfand's and Naimark's book [19]. A. Okounkov and G.I. Olshanski [20] obtained a representation of the Jack polynomials as some integrals over the space of Rayleigh triangles. This work was a standpoint for the present paper; see also Kazarnovsky-Krol's work [21].

1.2. Natural measures on $\mathcal{R}_n$. By $\mathbb{K}$ we denote $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or the quaternionic algebra $\mathbb{H}$. Assume

$$\theta = \dim \mathbb{K} / 2.$$

Let us define a natural measure $\{dx\}$ on $\mathbb{K}$: for the real numbers it is the Lebesgue measure, for the complex numbers $x = u + iv$ we put $\{d(u + iv)\} = du dv$, and for the quaternions $x = u + iv + jw + kz$ we give $\{d(u + iv + jw + kz)\} = du dv dw dz$.

Consider the space $\text{Herm}_{\mathbb{K}}(n)$ of all the Hermitian matrices³ of size $n \times n$ over $\mathbb{K}$. Equip this space by the Lebesgue measure

$$\prod_{k > l} \{dt_{kl}\} \prod_k dt_{kk}.$$

For a matrix T denote by $[T]_j$ its left upper block of size $j \times j$.

For each block $[T]_j$ of the matrix T denote by

$$\lambda_{j1} \leq \lambda_{j2} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{jj}$$

the eigenvalues of the matrix $[T]_j$. Thus we obtain the map

$$\text{Herm}_{\mathbb{K}}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_n(-\infty, \infty).$$

PROPOSITION 1.1.⁴ *The image of the Lebesgue measure on $\text{Herm}_{\mathbb{K}}(n)$ under the map $\text{Herm}_{\mathbb{K}}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_n$ is*

$$C_n(\theta) \mathfrak{r}_{\theta}(\mathcal{L}) d\mathcal{L}, \tag{1.4}$$

³A square matrix Z over $\mathbb{K}$ is Hermitian if its matrix elements $z_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfy the condition $z_{\alpha\beta} = \overline{z_{\beta\alpha}}$.

⁴The author does not know if this statement published somewhere; it can be observed from the calculation [19], §II.9. It is known in a folklore (since the integral representation of the Jack multivariate hypergeometric functions [20], [21] ideologically depend on this statement.

where

$$\mathfrak{r}_\theta(\mathcal{L}) = \frac{\prod_{2 \leq j \leq n} \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq j-1, 1 \leq p \leq j} |\lambda_{(j-1)\alpha} - \lambda_{jp}|^{\theta-1}}{\prod_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} \prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq j} (\lambda_{j\beta} - \lambda_{j\alpha})^{2\theta-2}} \times \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\lambda_{nq} - \lambda_{np}), \quad (1.5)$$

here $d\mathcal{L}$ is the Lebesgue measure on the space of Rayleigh triangles

$$d\mathcal{L} = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq j} d\lambda_{j\alpha}$$

and $C_n(\theta)$ is the normalizing constant

$$C_n(\theta) = \frac{\pi^{n(n-1)\theta}}{\Gamma^{n(n-1)/2}(\theta)}.$$

By $\text{Mat}_{\mathbb{K}}(n, m)$ we denote the space of matrices of size $n \times m$ over $\mathbb{K}$. Assume $n \leq m$, i.e., the number of rows does not exceed the number of columns. By $\{T\}_j$ we denote the matrix consisting of the first j rows of the matrix T . For each $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we denote by

$$\lambda_{j1} \leq \lambda_{j2} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{jj}$$

the eigenvalues of the matrix $\{T\}_j \{T\}_j^*$, obviously all these numbers are non-negative. Thus we obtain the map

$$\text{Mat}_{\mathbb{K}}(n, m) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_n[0, \infty). \quad (1.6)$$

PROPOSITION 1.2. *The image of the Lebesgue measure on $\text{Mat}(n, m)$ under the map (1.6) is*

$$\tilde{C}_{nm}(\theta) \psi(\mathcal{L}) \mathfrak{r}_\theta(\mathcal{L}) d\mathcal{L},$$

where $\mathfrak{r}_\theta(\mathcal{L})$ is given by (1.5),

$$\psi(\mathcal{L}) = \prod_{p=1}^n \lambda_{np}^{(m-n+1)\theta-1},$$

and

$$\tilde{C}_{nm}(\theta) = \frac{\pi^{mn\theta}}{\Gamma^{n(n-1)}(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma((m-j+1)\theta)}.$$

1.3. Ultra-beta-integrals. We deduce two following integrals (1.7)–(1.8) over the space of Rayleigh triangles.

Fix complex numbers σ_j, τ_j , where $j = 1, \dots, n$, and also the complex numbers $\theta_{j\alpha}$, where j, α range in the domain $1 \leq \alpha \leq j \leq n-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{R}_n[0, \infty)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \prod_{\alpha=1}^j \frac{\lambda_{j\alpha}^{\sigma_j - \sigma_{j+1} - \theta_{j\alpha}}}{(1 + \lambda_{j\alpha})^{\tau_j - \tau_{j+1} + \theta_{j\alpha}}} \cdot \prod_{p=1}^n \frac{\lambda_{np}^{\sigma_n - 1}}{(1 + \lambda_{np})^{\tau_n}} \times \\
& \times \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq j, 1 \leq p \leq j+1} |\lambda_{j\alpha} - \lambda_{(j+1)p}|^{\theta_{j\alpha} - 1}}{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq j} (\lambda_{j\beta} - \lambda_{j\alpha})^{\theta_{j\alpha} + \theta_{j\beta} - 2}} \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\lambda_{nq} - \lambda_{np}) d\mathcal{L} = \\
& = \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq j \leq n-1} \Gamma(\theta_{j\alpha}) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\sigma_j) \Gamma(\tau_j - \sigma_j - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{j-1} \theta_{(j-1)\alpha})}{\Gamma(\tau_j)}. \quad (1.7)
\end{aligned}$$

The conditions of absolute convergence of this integral are

$$\operatorname{Re} \theta_{j\alpha} > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} \sigma_j > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} \tau_j > \operatorname{Re} \sigma_j + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{j-1} \operatorname{Re} \theta_{(j-1)\alpha}.$$

As above, let $\tau_j, \sigma_j, \theta_{j\alpha}$ be complex numbers. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{R}_n(-\infty, \infty)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \prod_{\alpha=1}^j (1 + i\lambda_{j\alpha})^{-\sigma_j + \sigma_{j+1} - \theta_{j\alpha}} (1 - i\lambda_{j\alpha})^{-\tau_j + \tau_{j+1} - \theta_{j\alpha}} \times \\
& \times \prod_{p=1}^n (1 + i\lambda_{np})^{-\sigma_n} (1 - i\lambda_{np})^{-\tau_n} \times \\
& \times \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq j, 1 \leq p \leq j+1} |\lambda_{j\alpha} - \lambda_{(j+1)p}|^{\theta_{j\alpha} - 1}}{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq j} (\lambda_{j\beta} - \lambda_{j\alpha})^{\theta_{j\alpha} + \theta_{j\beta} - 2}} \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\lambda_{nq} - \lambda_{np}) d\mathcal{L} = \\
& = \pi^{n-1} 2^{2n - \sum_{j=1}^n (\sigma_j + \tau_j)} \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq j \leq n-1} \Gamma(\theta_{j\alpha}) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\sigma_j + \tau_j - 1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{j-1} \theta_{(j-1)\alpha})}{\Gamma(\sigma_j) \Gamma(\tau_j)}, \quad (1.8)
\end{aligned}$$

where i is the imaginary unit. The conditions of the absolute convergence of this integral is

$$\operatorname{Re} \theta_{j\alpha} > 0; \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sigma_j + \tau_j - 1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{j-1} \theta_{(j-1)\alpha} \right\} > 0.$$

In §3 we derive three more integrals (3.1)–(3.3) in the same spirit.

1.4. Matrix integrals. Ultra-beta-integrals include many various matrix beta- and gamma-integrals of Whishart–Ingham [22], Siegel [23], Hua Loo Keng

[24] and Gindikin [15] types.⁵ We present two series of such integrals now and some more series in 4.3. I have not seen some of these integrals in the literature. Apparently, varying symmetry conditions on matrices it is possible to obtain many other integrals in the same style.

Consider the space $\text{Pos}_{\mathbb{K}}(n)$ of Hermitian positive definite matrices T of size $n \times n$ over field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$; as above, let $\theta = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathbb{K}$. Fix complex numbers $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ and $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$. The beta-integrals of Gindikin (see [15], see also FK, they extend the Siegel integrals [23]) have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\text{Pos}_{\mathbb{K}}(n)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\det^{\sigma_j - \sigma_{j+1} - \theta} [T]_j}{\det^{\tau_j - \tau_{j+1} + \theta} [1 + T]_j} \frac{\det^{\sigma_n - 1} T}{\det^{\tau_n} (1 + T)} dT = \\ = \pi^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\sigma_j) \Gamma(\tau_j - \sigma_j - (j-1)\theta)}{\Gamma(\tau_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us explain how to reduce them to our integrals. In notation of Subsection 1.4, the measure dT can be rewritten in the form (1.4), the integrand transforms to

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_{j\alpha}^{\sigma_j - \sigma_{j+1} - \theta}}{(1 + \lambda_{j\alpha})^{\tau_j - \tau_{j+1} + \theta}} \prod_{p=1}^n \frac{\lambda_{np}^{\sigma_n - 1}}{(1 + \lambda_{np})^{\tau_n}},$$

and we obtain a partial case of the integral (1.7).

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\text{Herm}_n(\mathbb{K})} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \det(1 + i[T]_j)^{-\sigma_j + \sigma_{j+1} - \theta} \det(1 - i[T]_j)^{-\tau_j + \tau_{j+1} - \theta} \times \\ \times \det(1 + iT)^{-\sigma_n} \det(1 - iT)^{-\tau_n} dT = \\ = \pi^{n(n+1)/2} 2^{2n - \sum \sigma_j - \sum \tau_j} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\tau_j + \sigma_j - 1 - (j-1)\theta)}{\Gamma(\sigma_j) \Gamma(\tau_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

For the field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ this integral is evaluated in [26], [12], one of partial cases of this integral is the Hua Loo Keng integral [24]

$$\int_{\text{Herm}_n(\mathbb{K})} \det(1 + T^2)^{-\sigma} dT,$$

it corresponds to

$$\sigma_j = \tau_j = \sigma - (n - j)\theta.$$

⁵The integrals from author's work [16] are not absorbed by ultra-beta-integrals.

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\text{Mat}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\det(\{T\}_j \{T\}_j^*)^{\sigma_j - \sigma_{j+1} - \theta}}{\det(1 + \{T\}_j \{T\}_j^*)^{\tau_j - \tau_{j+1} + \theta}} \cdot \frac{\det(T^* T)^{\sigma_n - (m-n+1)\theta}}{\det(1 + T T^*)^{\tau_n}} dT = \\ = \pi^{mn\theta} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\sigma_j) \Gamma(\tau_j - \sigma_j - (j-1)\theta)}{\Gamma(\tau_j) \Gamma((m-j+1)\theta)}. \end{aligned}$$

This series of integrals includes the Hua Loo Keng integrals [24]

$$\int_{\text{Mat}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})} \det(1 + T^2)^{-\tau} dT.$$

Some more series of matrix integrals are discussed below in 4.3.

1.5. Projective systems of measures. Fix an infinite sequence of complex numbers $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots$. Assume $\tau_j = \bar{\sigma}_j$. Fix also reals numbers $\theta_{j\alpha}$, where j, α range in $1 \leq \alpha \leq j$. Let these numbers satisfy the inequalities

$$\theta_{j\alpha} > 0; \quad 2 \operatorname{Re} \sigma_j > 1 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{j-1} \theta_{(j-1)\alpha}.$$

Further, fix n . Denote by $\mathfrak{P}_n(\mathcal{L})$ the integrand in (1.8). Consider the probability measure

$$\varkappa_n = c_n \cdot \mathfrak{P}_n(\mathcal{L}) d\mathcal{L}$$

on $\mathcal{R}_n(-\infty, \infty)$, where c_n is a normalizing constant (we choice it to make the measure probabilistic).

Consider the map

$$\Upsilon : \mathcal{R}_{n+1}(-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_n(-\infty, \infty),$$

that erases the last row of a Rayleigh triangle $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{R}_{n+1}(-\infty, \infty)$.

THEOREM 1.3. *The image of the measure $\varkappa_{n+1}$ under the map Υ coincides with $\varkappa_n$.*

Hence, by the Kolmogorov theorem on the inverse limits, there exists a canonical probabilistic measure $\varkappa_\infty$ on the space of infinite Rayleigh triangles such that its projection to $\mathcal{R}_n(-\infty, \infty)$ coincides with $\varkappa_n$ for all n .

Possibly, this construction is too general. We mention its definitely reasonable partial case. Assume $\theta_{j\alpha} = \theta$ for all j, α and $\sigma_j = \sigma + j\theta$. Then we obtain a series of measures interpolating the Hua-Pickrell measures on the inverse limits of symmetric spaces

$$\lim_{\infty \leftarrow n} \text{U}(n)/\text{O}(n); \quad \lim_{\infty \leftarrow n} \text{U}(n); \quad \lim_{\infty \leftarrow n} \text{U}(2n)/\text{Sp}(n);$$

these cases correspond to $\theta = 1/2, 1, 2$. With comparison with 0.3, we change the notation, namely $\lambda_{j\alpha}$ is connected with $\nu_{j\alpha}$ from 1.3 by the formula $\lambda_{j\alpha} = i(1 - \nu_{j\alpha})/(1 + \nu_{j\alpha})$.

REMARK. The space $\mathcal{R}_n[-\infty, \infty]$ discussed in this subsection imitates the space of Hermitian matrices, but in Subsection 0.3 we told about unitary matrices. The passage from unitary matrices to Hermitian matrices is given by the Cayley transform

$$T = -1 + (1 + g)^{-1}; \quad g \in \mathrm{U}(n), \quad T \in \mathrm{Herm}_{\mathbb{C}}(n)$$

For a matrix T , we denote by $\langle T \rangle_j$ its lower right block of size $j \times j$. Then (see [12])

$$\det(1 + [g]_k) = 2^{-n+k} \det(1 + \langle T \rangle_{n-k}) \cdot \det(1 + T)^{-1}$$

and this allows to reduce the measures from 0.3 to the form $c_n \cdot \mathfrak{P}_n(\mathcal{L}) d\mathcal{L}$.

1.6. Trapezoids. Integrals (1.7)–(1.8), and also integrals (3.1)–(3.3) given below allow a minor extension. For definiteness, we discuss the integral (1.7).

Name by a *Rayleigh trapezoid* a collection of numbers

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \lambda_{m1} & & \lambda_{m2} & \dots & \lambda_{mm} \\ & & & & & & \\ & \lambda_{(m+1)1} & & \lambda_{(m+1)2} & & \dots & \lambda_{(m+1)(m+1)} \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \lambda_{n1} & & \lambda_{n2} & & \lambda_{n3} & \dots & \lambda_{n(n-1)} & \lambda_{nn}, \end{array},$$

satisfying the interchanging condition (1.3). We denote the set of all such trapezoids by $\mathcal{R}_n^m$.

The following formula holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{R}_n^m[0, \infty)} \prod_{j=m}^{n-1} \prod_{\alpha=1}^j \frac{\lambda_{j\alpha}^{\sigma_j - \sigma_{j+1} - \theta_{j\alpha}}}{(1 + \lambda_{j\alpha})^{\tau_j - \tau_{j+1} + \theta_{j\alpha}}} \cdot \prod_{p=1}^n \frac{\lambda_{np}^{\sigma_n - 1}}{(1 + \lambda_{np})^{\tau_n}} \times \\ & \quad \times \prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq m} (\lambda_{m\beta} - \lambda_{m\alpha})^{2\mathcal{K} - 1} \times \\ & \quad \times \frac{\prod_{j=m}^{n-1} \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq j, 1 \leq p \leq j+1} |\lambda_{j\alpha} - \lambda_{(j+1)p}|^{\theta_{j\alpha} - 1}}{\prod_{j=m}^{n-1} \prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq j} (\lambda_{j\beta} - \lambda_{j\alpha})^{\theta_{j\alpha} + \theta_{j\beta} - 2}} \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\lambda_{nq} - \lambda_{np}) d\mathcal{L} = \\ & = \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma((j+1)\mathcal{K}) \Gamma(\sigma_m + j\mathcal{K}) \Gamma(\tau_m - \sigma_m - (m+j-1)\mathcal{K})}{\Gamma(\mathcal{K}) \Gamma(\tau_m - j\mathcal{K})} \times \\ & \quad \times \prod_{m \leq \alpha \leq j \leq n-1} \Gamma(\theta_{j\alpha}) \prod_{j=m}^n \frac{\Gamma(\sigma_j) \Gamma(\tau_j - \sigma_j - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{j-1} \theta_{(j-1)\alpha})}{\Gamma(\tau_j)}. \quad (1.9) \end{aligned}$$

Emphasis, that for $m = n$ we obtain the Selberg integral (see [27], [29], [30]):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{0 < \mu_1 < \dots < \mu_n} \prod_{p=1}^n \frac{\mu_p^{\sigma-1}}{(1 + \mu_p)^\tau} \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\mu_q - \mu_p)^{2\theta} d\mu_1 \dots d\mu_n = \\ = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\sigma + \theta(j-1)) \Gamma(\tau - \sigma - \theta(n-j+1)) \Gamma(j\theta)}{\Gamma(\tau - \theta(j-1)) \Gamma(\theta)} \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

(we denote the variables λ_{np} by μ_p and $\varkappa$ by θ ; the remaining parameters for $m = n$ are lacked).

1.7. Conjecture. Our ultra-beta-integrals are some kind of a superstructure over the Selberg integral. The Selberg integral is the simplest representative of multidimensional beta-integrals. It seems likely that a superstructure of this type exists for other beta-integrals related to root systems of the series A_n , for instance for the weight function of the Macdonald polynomials. Anyway, for the Macdonald polynomials are possible integral representations similar to [20], [21], see [28].

1.8. Further structure of the paper. Our §2 contains main lemmas. Using them, in §3, we easily deduce the projectivity theorem, the integrals (1.7)–(1.8), (1.9), (3.1)–(3.3). In §4, Propositions 1.1–1.2 are proved, in this section we discuss some more matrix integrals.

§2. Change of variables

We use changes of variables of the Anderson type [29].

2.1. Basic lemmas. Fix real numbers $a < b$; we allow $a = -\infty$ or $b = \infty$. Let fixed numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$ satisfy the inequalities

$$a < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_{n-1} < b. \quad (2.1)$$

Denote by $\Xi[a, b; \lambda]$ the set of all collections $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$, satisfying the inequality

$$a < \mu_1 < \lambda_1 < \mu_2 < \dots < \lambda_{n-1} < \mu_n < b. \quad (2.2)$$

LEMMA 2.1. *Let complex numbers $\sigma, \tau, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}$ satisfy the conditions*

$$\operatorname{Re} \theta_\alpha > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} \sigma > 0; \quad \operatorname{Re} \tau > \operatorname{Re} \sigma - \sum_{\alpha} \operatorname{Re} \theta_\alpha.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Xi[0, \infty; \lambda]} \prod_{p=1}^n \frac{\mu_p^{\sigma-1}}{(1 + \mu_p)^\tau} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1, 1 \leq p \leq n} |\lambda_\alpha - \mu_p|^{\theta_\alpha-1} \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\mu_q - \mu_p) \prod_{1 \leq p \leq n} d\mu_p = \\ = \frac{\Gamma(\tau - \sigma - \sum \theta_\alpha) \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1} \Gamma(\theta_\alpha)}{\Gamma(\tau)} \times \\ \times \prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n-1} (\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha)^{\theta_\alpha + \theta_\beta - 1} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1} \frac{\lambda_\alpha^{\sigma-1+\theta_\alpha}}{(1 + \lambda_\alpha)^{\tau-\theta_\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

LEMMA 2.2. Let complex numbers $\sigma, \tau, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}$ satisfy the conditions

$$\operatorname{Re} \theta_\alpha > 0; \quad \operatorname{Re}(\sigma + \tau - \sum_{\alpha} \operatorname{Re} \theta_\alpha - 1) > 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Xi[-\infty, \infty; \lambda]} \prod_{p=1}^n (1 + i\mu_p)^{-\sigma} (1 - i\mu_p)^{-\tau} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1, 1 \leq p \leq n} |\lambda_\alpha - \mu_p|^{\theta_\alpha - 1} \times \\ & \quad \times \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\mu_q - \mu_p) \prod_{1 \leq p \leq n} d\mu_p = \\ & \quad \pi 2^{2-\sigma-\tau} \Gamma(\sigma + \tau - \sum \theta_\alpha - 1) \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1} \Gamma(\theta_\alpha) \\ & = \frac{\Gamma(\tau) \Gamma(\sigma)}{\Gamma(\tau) \Gamma(\sigma)} \times \\ & \quad \times \prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n-1} (\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha)^{\theta_\alpha + \theta_\beta - 1} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq \alpha} (1 + i\lambda_\alpha)^{-\sigma - \theta_\alpha} (1 - i\lambda_\alpha)^{-\tau - \theta_\alpha}. \quad (2.4) \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Variants. There are three following variants of Lemma 2.1–2.2.

A) Let $\operatorname{Re} \sigma > 0, \operatorname{Re} \theta_\alpha > 0, \operatorname{Re} \psi > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Xi[0, \infty; \lambda]} \prod_{p=1}^n \mu_p^{\sigma-1} e^{-\psi \mu_p} \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1, 1 \leq p \leq n} |\lambda_\alpha - \mu_p|^{\theta_\alpha - 1} \times \\ & \quad \times \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\mu_q - \mu_p) \prod_{1 \leq p \leq n} d\mu_p = \\ & = \psi^{-\sigma - \sum \theta_\alpha} \Gamma(\sigma) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \Gamma(\theta_\alpha) \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1} \lambda^{\sigma-1+\theta_\alpha} e^{-\psi \lambda_\alpha} \times \\ & \quad \times \prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n-1} (\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha)^{\theta_\alpha + \theta_\beta - 1}. \quad (2.5) \end{aligned}$$

B) Let $\operatorname{Re} \psi > 0, \operatorname{Re} \theta_\alpha > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Xi[-\infty, \infty; \lambda]} \prod_{p=1}^n e^{-\psi \mu_p^2} \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1, 1 \leq p \leq n} |\lambda_\alpha - \mu_p|^{\theta_\alpha - 1} \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\mu_q - \mu_p) \prod_{1 \leq p \leq n} d\mu_p = \\ & = \sqrt{2\pi} \exp\left\{-\frac{\psi}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_\alpha^2\right\} \psi^{-1/2 - \sum \theta_\alpha} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \Gamma(\theta_\alpha) \prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n-1} (\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha)^{\theta_\alpha + \theta_\beta - 1}. \quad (2.6) \end{aligned}$$

C) Let $-\infty < a < b < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Xi[a,b;\lambda]} \prod_{p=1}^n (\mu_p - a)^{\sigma-1} (b - \mu_p)^{\tau-1} \times \\
& \times \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1, 1 \leq p \leq n} |\lambda_\alpha - \mu_p|^{\theta_\alpha-1} \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\mu_q - \mu_p) \prod_{1 \leq p \leq n} d\mu_p = \\
& = (b-a)^{\sigma+\tau+\sum \theta_\alpha-1} \frac{\Gamma(\sigma)\Gamma(\tau) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \Gamma(\theta_\alpha)}{\Gamma(\sigma+\tau+\sum \theta_\alpha)} \times \\
& \times \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_\alpha - a)^{\sigma+\theta_\alpha-1} (b - \lambda_\alpha)^{\tau+\theta_\alpha-1} \prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n-1} (\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha)^{\theta_\alpha+\theta_\beta-1}. \quad (2.7)
\end{aligned}$$

2.3. Change of variables. Let the parameters $\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_{n-1}$ be fixed, and let the variables $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$ satisfy the interchanging conditions

$$\mu_1 < \lambda_1 < \mu_2 < \dots < \lambda_{n-1} < \mu_n. \quad (2.8)$$

Introduce the new variables $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, \eta$ by the formulas

$$\xi_\alpha = - \frac{\prod_{1 \leq p \leq n} (\mu_p - \lambda_\alpha)}{\prod_{1 \leq \beta \leq n-1, \beta \neq \alpha} (\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha)}; \quad (2.9)$$

$$\eta = \sum_{1 \leq p \leq n} \mu_p - \sum_{1 \leq \beta \leq n-1} \lambda_\beta. \quad (2.10)$$

LEMMA 2.3. a) The map $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \mapsto (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, \eta)$ given by formula (2.9)–(2.10) is a bijection of the domain (2.8) to the domain

$$\xi_1 > 0, \quad \xi_2 > 0, \quad \dots, \quad \xi_{n-1} > 0, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.11)$$

b) If in addition $\lambda_1 > 0$, then the inequalities

$$0 < \mu_1 < \lambda_1 < \mu_2 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_{n-1} < \mu_n \quad (2.12)$$

are equivalent to the inequalities

$$\xi_1 > 0, \quad \xi_2 > 0, \quad \dots, \quad \xi_{n-1} > 0, \quad \eta > \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \frac{\xi_\alpha}{\lambda_\alpha}. \quad (2.13)$$

c) The Jacobian of the change of variables (2.9) – (2.10) is

$$\frac{\prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\mu_q - \mu_p)}{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n-1} (\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha)}. \quad (2.14)$$

PROOF. Consider the function

$$R(x) := \frac{\prod_{1 \leq p \leq n} (x - \mu_p)}{\prod_{1 \leq \beta \leq n-1} (x - \lambda_\beta)}. \quad (2.15)$$

The residues of $R(x)$ are

$$\operatorname{res}_{x=\lambda_\alpha} R(x) = -\xi_\alpha. \quad (2.16)$$

Hence

$$R(x) = x - \eta - \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1} \frac{\xi_\alpha}{x - \lambda_\alpha}. \quad (2.17)$$

Positivity of the variables ξ_α immediately follows from the inequalities (2.8).

Conversely, assume that all the ξ_α are positive. The expansion (2.17) implies that the function $R(x)$ is $+\infty$ at the left end of the interval $(\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_{\alpha+1})$, at the right end it equals $-\infty$. Hence $R(x)$ has at least one root on this interval. The same is valid on the rays $(-\infty, \lambda_1)$ and $(\lambda_{n-1}, +\infty)$. Hence, for positive ξ_α all the roots μ_p of the equation $R(x) = 0$ are real and satisfy the inequalities (2.8).

Thus the statement a) is proved. We know that the interval $(-\infty, \lambda_1)$ contains one root of the equation $R(x) = 0$. It is positive iff $R(0) < 0$; this proves b).

To evaluate the Jacobian, we observe

$$\frac{\partial \xi_\alpha}{\partial \mu_p} = \frac{\xi_\alpha}{\mu_p - \lambda_\alpha}; \quad \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \mu_p} = 1.$$

Hence the Jacobian is

$$\Delta \cdot \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \xi_\alpha,$$

where

$$\Delta = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\mu_1 - \lambda_1} & \frac{1}{\mu_1 - \lambda_2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\mu_1 - \lambda_{n-1}} & 1 \\ \frac{1}{\mu_2 - \lambda_1} & \frac{1}{\mu_2 - \lambda_2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\mu_2 - \lambda_{n-1}} & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\mu_n - \lambda_1} & \frac{1}{\mu_n - \lambda_2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\mu_n - \lambda_{n-1}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.18)$$

LEMMA 2.4. *The following identity holds*

$$\Delta = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\mu_p - \mu_q) \prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n-1} (\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha)}{\prod_{1 \leq p \leq n, 1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1} (\mu_p - \lambda_\alpha)}.$$

PROOF. We use the Cauchy determinant

$$\det_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n, 1 \leq l \leq n} \frac{1}{\mu_\alpha - \lambda_l} = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\mu_q - \mu_p) \prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n-1} (\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha)}{\prod_{1 \leq p \leq n, 1 \leq \alpha \leq n} (\mu_p - \lambda_\alpha)}. \quad (2.19)$$

Further, we multiply the last column of the determinant by λ_n and turn λ_n to infinity. This proves Lemma 2.4. $\square$

It remains to notice that

$$|\xi_1 \xi_2 \dots \xi_{n-1}| = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq p \leq n, 1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1} |\mu_p - \lambda_\alpha|}{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n-1} (\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha)^2},$$

and this proves Lemma 2.3. $\square$

LEMMA 2.5. *In notation of Lemma 2.3, for each $a \in \mathbb{C}$ the following identity holds*

$$\prod_{1 \leq p \leq n} (\mu_p + a) = \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1} (\lambda_\alpha + a) \cdot \left\{ a + \eta - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \frac{\xi_\alpha}{\lambda_\alpha + a} \right\}.$$

PROOF. Consider a function

$$Q(x) = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq p \leq n} (x - \mu_p)}{(x + a) \prod_{1 \leq \beta \leq n-1} (x - \lambda_\beta)}.$$

Its residues are

$$\operatorname{res}_{x=\lambda_\alpha} Q(x) = \frac{-\xi_\alpha}{\lambda_\alpha + a}; \quad \operatorname{res}_{x=-a} Q(x) = -\frac{\prod(\mu_p + a)}{\prod(\lambda_\beta + a)}; \quad \operatorname{res}_{x=\infty} Q(x) = \eta + a.$$

The sum of residues is 0, this is equivalent to the statement of Lemma. $\square$

2.4. Deduction of Lemma 2.1. Denote our integral by I_1 . Let

$$W = \prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n-1} (\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha)^{\theta_\alpha + \theta_\beta - 1}. \quad (2.20)$$

Passing to the variables ξ_α, η using Lemma 2.3–2.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I_1}{W} &= \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_\alpha^{\sigma-1}}{(1 + \lambda_\alpha)^\tau} \int \left(\eta - \sum \frac{\xi_\alpha}{\lambda_\alpha} \right)^{\sigma-1} \left(1 + \eta - \sum \frac{\xi_\alpha}{1 + \lambda_\alpha} \right)^{-\tau} \times \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \xi_\alpha^{\theta_\alpha - 1} d\eta \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} d\xi_\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where the integration is given over the domain $\xi_\alpha > 0, \eta > \sum \frac{\xi_\alpha}{\lambda_\alpha}$.

Further, we change η, ξ_α to the new variables

$$u = \eta - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \frac{\xi_\alpha}{\lambda_\alpha}; \quad v_\alpha = \frac{\xi_\alpha}{\lambda_\alpha(1 + \lambda_\alpha)}.$$

In these variables,

$$1 + \eta - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \frac{\xi_\alpha}{1 + \lambda_\alpha} = 1 + u + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} v_\alpha,$$

and

$$\prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \xi_\alpha^{-1} \cdot d\eta d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_{n-1} = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} v_\alpha^{-1} \cdot du dv_1 \dots dv_{n-1}.$$

The integral converts to the form

$$\prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_\alpha^{\sigma+\theta_\alpha-1}}{(1 + \lambda_\alpha)^{\tau-\theta_\alpha}} \int \frac{u^{\sigma-1} \prod v_\alpha^{\theta_\alpha-1}}{(1 + u + v_1 + \dots + v_{n-1})^\tau} du dv_1 \dots dv_{n-1},$$

where the integration is given over the domain $u > 0$, $v_\alpha > 0$, and we obtain a variant of the Dirichlet integral, see the next subsection.

2.5. Dirichlet integrals. The Dirichlet integrals are the three following related integrals

$$\int_{t_1>0, \dots, t_n>0, \sum t_n < 1} \prod_{j=1}^n t_j^{x_j-1} (1 - \sum_{j=1}^n t_j)^{x_{n+1}-1} dt_1 \dots dt_n = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \Gamma(x_j)}{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} x_j)}; \quad (2.22)$$

$$\int_{t_1>0, \dots, t_n>0} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n t_j^{x_j-1} dt_1 \dots dt_n}{(1 + \sum_{j=1}^n t_j)^y} = \frac{\Gamma(y - \sum_{j=1}^n x_j) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(x_j)}{\Gamma(y)}; \quad (2.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1>0, \dots, t_{n-1}>0; s \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} t_j^{x_j-1} ds dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1}}{(1 + is + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t_j)^y (1 - is + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t_j)^z} &= \\ &= \frac{2^{2-y-z} \pi \Gamma(y+z-1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma(x_j)}{\Gamma(y) \Gamma(z)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

All these integrals can be easily evaluated by successive integration in $t_1, t_2, \dots$, see also Theorem 1.8.6 from [30].

2.6. Deduction of Lemma 2.2. Denote our integral by I_2 , define the quantity W by (2.20). Then by Lemma 2.3, 2.5 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I_2}{W} &= \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} (1 + i\lambda_\alpha)^{-\sigma} (1 - i\lambda_\alpha)^{-\tau} \times \\ &\times \int \left(1 + i\eta + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \frac{\xi_\alpha}{1 + i\lambda_\alpha}\right)^{-\sigma} \left(1 - i\eta + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \frac{\xi_\alpha}{1 - i\lambda_\alpha}\right)^{-\tau} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \xi_\alpha^{\theta_\alpha-1} d\eta \prod d\xi_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where the integration is given over the domain (2.11).

The first and second factors of the integrand are converted to the form

$$\left(1+i\left\{\eta-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1}\frac{\xi_{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha}}{1+\lambda_{\alpha}^2}\right\}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1}\frac{\xi_{\alpha}}{1+\lambda_{\alpha}^2}\right)^{-\sigma}\left(1-i\left\{\eta-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1}\frac{\xi_{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha}}{1+\lambda_{\alpha}^2}\right\}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1}\frac{\xi_{\alpha}}{1+\lambda_{\alpha}^2}\right)^{-\tau}.$$

Further, introduce the new variables

$$v_{\alpha} = \frac{\xi_{\alpha}}{1+\lambda_{\alpha}^2}; \quad u = \eta - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \frac{\xi_{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha}}{1+\lambda_{\alpha}^2}.$$

Our integral transforms to the form

$$\prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} (1+i\lambda_{\alpha})^{-\sigma+\theta_{\alpha}} (1-i\lambda_{\alpha})^{-\tau+\theta_{\alpha}} \times \\ \times \int_{v_1>0, \dots, v_{n-1}>0, u \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\prod v_{\alpha}^{\theta_{\alpha}-1} du \prod dv_{\alpha}}{(1+iu + \sum v_{\alpha})^{\sigma} (1-iu + \sum v_{\alpha})^{\tau}}.$$

It remains to apply the Dirichlet integral (2.24).

2.7. Deduction of integral (2.5). Denote our integral by I_3 , define the quantity W by formula (2.20). Then

$$\frac{I_3}{W} = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{\alpha}^{\sigma-1} e^{-\psi\lambda_{\alpha}} \int \left(\eta - \sum \frac{\xi_{\alpha}}{\lambda_{\alpha}}\right)^{\sigma-1} e^{-\psi\eta} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \xi_{\alpha}^{\theta_{\alpha}-1} d\eta \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} d\xi_{\alpha},$$

where the integration is given over positive ξ_{α} and $\eta > \sum \xi_{\alpha}$. Introducing the new variables

$$u = \eta - \sum \frac{\xi_{\alpha}}{\lambda_{\alpha}}; \quad v_{\alpha} = \frac{\xi_{\alpha}}{\lambda_{\alpha}},$$

we obtain

$$\frac{I_3}{W} = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{\alpha}^{\sigma+\theta_{\alpha}-1} e^{-\psi\lambda_{\alpha}} \int_{u>0, v_1>0, \dots, v_{n-1}>0} v^{\sigma-1} \prod v_{\alpha}^{\theta_{\alpha}-1} \times \\ \times \exp\{-\psi(u + \sum v_{\alpha})\} du \prod dv_{\alpha},$$

this comes to a product of Γ -functions.

2.8. Derivation of formula (2.6).

LEMMA 2.6. *Let λ_{α} , μ_p , ξ_{α} , η be related by formula (2.9)–(2.10). Then*

$$\sum_{p=1}^n \mu_p^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{\alpha}^2 + 2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \xi_{\alpha} + \eta^2.$$

PROOF. Represent the function (2.15) in the form

$$R(x) = x \prod \left(1 - \frac{\mu_p}{x}\right) \prod \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_\alpha}{x}\right)^{-1}.$$

The coefficient at x^{-1} of its Laurent expansion at infinity is

$$c = \sum_{p < q} \mu_p \mu_q + \sum_{\alpha < \beta} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta - \sum \lambda_\alpha \mu_p + \sum \lambda_\alpha^2 = \frac{1}{2} \eta^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum \lambda_\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum \mu_p^2.$$

On the other hand, the sum of residues is zero, i.e., $c = -\sum \xi_\alpha$. $\square$

Denote our integral (2.6) by I_4 . Passing to the variables ξ_α , η , we obtain the trivial integral

$$\frac{I_4}{W} = \exp\left\{-\frac{\psi}{2} \sum \lambda_\alpha^2\right\} \int_{\xi_\alpha > 0, \eta \in \mathbb{R}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\psi}{2} \eta^2 - \psi \sum \xi_\alpha\right\} \prod \xi_\alpha^{\theta_\alpha - 1} d\eta \prod d\xi_\alpha.$$

2.9. Another deduction of integrals (2.5)–(2.6). Observe that

$$e^{-\mu} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{k}\right)^{-k}; \quad e^{-\mu^2} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{i\mu}{k}\right)^{-k} \left(1 - \frac{i\mu}{k}\right)^{-k},$$

hence the integral (2.5) can be obtained from (2.3) by the substitution

$$\tau = k; \quad \mu_p = \tilde{\mu}_p/k; \quad \lambda_\alpha = \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha/k$$

and passing to the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly (2.6) can be derived from (2.4).

2.10. Derivation of formula (2.7). In this case, it is possible to repeat literally the calculation from Subsection 2.4 and to reduce our integral to the Dirichlet integral (2.23).

Also, it is possible to change the variables in (2.7) in the following way

$$\tilde{\mu}_p = \frac{b-a}{\mu_p-a}; \quad \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha = \frac{b-a}{\lambda_\alpha-a}$$

Thus we reduce our integral to (2.3).

2.11. One more integral. Fix constants $\mu_1 < \dots < \mu_{n+1}$. Let the variables $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\mu_1 < \lambda_1 < \mu_2 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_n < \mu_{n+1}. \quad (2.25)$$

LEMMA 2.7. *Let $\operatorname{Re} \theta_1 > 0, \dots, \operatorname{Re} \theta_n > 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n; 1 \leq p \leq n+1} |\lambda_\alpha - \mu_p|^{\theta_p - 1} \prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} (\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha) \prod_{\alpha=1}^n d\lambda_\alpha = \\ = \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n+1} (\mu_q - \mu_p)^{\theta_p + \theta_q - 1} \cdot \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} \Gamma(\theta_\alpha)}{\Gamma\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} \theta_\alpha\right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

2.12. One more change of variables. Define the new variables $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n+1}$ by the formula

$$\zeta_p = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n} (\lambda_\alpha - \mu_p)}{\prod_{1 \leq q \leq n+1, q \neq p} (\mu_q - \mu_p)}. \quad (2.27)$$

LEMMA 2.8. a) *The map $\lambda \mapsto \zeta(\lambda)$ transforms the set defined by the inequalities (2.8) to the simplex*

$$\sum_{p=1}^{n+1} \zeta_p = 1; \quad \zeta_1 > 0, \dots, \zeta_{n+1} > 0.$$

b) *The Jacobian of the change of variables*

$$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mapsto (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$$

is

$$\frac{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} (\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha)}{\prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n+1} (\mu_p - \mu_q)}.$$

PROOF. Consider the function

$$H(x) = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n} (x - \lambda_\alpha)}{\prod_{1 \leq p \leq n+1} (x - \mu_p)}.$$

The residues of this function are

$$\operatorname{res}_{x=\mu_p} H(x) = \zeta_p; \quad \operatorname{res}_{x=\infty} H(x) = -1.$$

The sum of all the residues is 0, hence $\sum \zeta_p = 1$. Obviously, inequalities (2.8) imply positivity of ζ_p . Conversely, let all the ζ_p be positive. Taking in account the expansion

$$H(x) = \sum_{p=1}^{n+1} \frac{\zeta_p}{x - \mu_p}$$

we observe that the function $H(x)$ has a unique zero on each interval (μ_p, μ_{p+1}) . This proves statement a).

Further

$$\frac{\partial \zeta_p}{\partial \lambda_\alpha} = \frac{\zeta_p}{\lambda_\alpha - \mu_p}.$$

Hence the evaluation of the Jacobian is reduced to the Cauchy determinant (2.19). $\square$

2.13. Derivation of Lemma 2.7. The change of variables (2.27) converts integral (2.26) to the form

$$\prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n+1} (\mu_q - \mu_p)^{\theta_\alpha + \theta_\beta - 1} \int_{\zeta_1 > 0, \dots, \zeta_n > 0} \prod_{p=1}^{n+1} \zeta_p^{\theta_p - 1} \prod_{p=1}^n d\zeta_p.$$

Applying $\zeta_{n+1} = 1 - \zeta_1 - \dots - \zeta_n$, we obtain the Dirichlet integral (2.22).

3. Big integrals

Here we prove Theorem 1.3 on projectivity, and also deduce the ultra-beta-integrals (1.7)–(1.8), (1.9), and similar integrals (3.1)–(3.3).

3.1. Deduction of integrals (1.7)–(1.8). For definiteness, consider the integral (1.7). Using Lemma 2.1 we integrate in the variables $\lambda_{n1}, \dots, \lambda_{nn}$. The result is an integral of the same form but over the space $\mathcal{R}_{n-1}[0, \infty)$ of Rayleigh triangles of lower size.

Next, we integrate in $\lambda_{(n-1)1}, \dots, \lambda_{(n-1)(n-1)}$, etc.

3.2. Projectivity. In the notation of 1.1, let $f(\mathcal{L})$ be a function on $\mathcal{R}_{n+1}(-\infty, \infty)$, depending only on the first n rows of a Rayleigh triangle. To derive Theorem 1.3, we must prove that

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}_{n+1}(-\infty, \infty)} f(\mathcal{L}) d\mathcal{R}_{n+1}(\mathcal{L}) = \int_{\mathcal{R}_n(-\infty, \infty)} f(\mathcal{L}) d\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{L})$$

But Lemma 2.2 allows to integrate explicitly over the variables $\lambda_{(n+1)1}, \dots, \lambda_{(n+1)(n+1)}$. After this we obtain the required result.

3.3. Variants. Formula (2.5)–(2.7) imply the following integrals

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{R}_n[0, \infty)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \prod_{\alpha=1}^j \lambda_{j\alpha}^{\sigma_j - \sigma_{j+1} - \theta_{j\alpha}} e^{-(\psi_j - \psi_{j+1})\lambda_\alpha} \cdot \prod_{p=1}^n \lambda_{np}^{\sigma_n - 1} e^{-\psi_n \lambda_{np}} \times \\ & \times \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq j, 1 \leq p \leq j+1} |\lambda_{j\alpha} - \lambda_{(j+1)p}|^{\theta_{j\alpha} - 1}}{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq j} (\lambda_{j\beta} - \lambda_{j\alpha})^{\theta_{j\alpha} + \theta_{j\beta} - 2}} \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\lambda_{nq} - \lambda_{np}) d\mathcal{L} = \\ & = \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq j \leq n-1} \Gamma(\theta_{j\alpha}) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(\sigma_j) \psi_j^{-\sigma_j - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \theta_{(j-1)\alpha}}; \quad (3.1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{R}_n(-\infty, \infty)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\psi_j - \psi_{j+1}) \sum_{\alpha=1}^j \lambda_{j\alpha}^2\right\} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\psi_n \sum_{p=1}^n \lambda_{np}^2\right\} \times \\
& \times \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq j, 1 \leq p \leq j+1} |\lambda_{j\alpha} - \lambda_{(j+1)p}|^{\theta_{j\alpha}-1}}{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq j} (\lambda_{j\beta} - \lambda_{j\alpha})^{\theta_{j\alpha} + \theta_{j\beta} - 2}} \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\lambda_{nq} - \lambda_{np}) d\mathcal{L} = \\
& = (2\pi)^{n/2} \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq j \leq n-1} \Gamma(\theta_{j\alpha}) \prod_{j=1}^n \psi_j^{-n/2 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \theta_{(j-1)\alpha}}; \quad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{R}_n[a, b]} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \prod_{\alpha=1}^j (\lambda_{j\alpha} - a)^{\sigma_j - \sigma_{j+1} - \theta_{j\alpha}} (b - \lambda_{j\alpha})^{\tau_j - \tau_{j+1} - \theta_{j\alpha}} \times \\
& \times \prod_{p=1}^n (\lambda_{np} - a)^{\sigma_n - 1} (b - \lambda_{np})^{\tau_n - 1} \times \\
& \times \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq j, 1 \leq p \leq j+1} |\lambda_{j\alpha} - \lambda_{(j+1)p}|^{\theta_{j\alpha}-1}}{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq j} (\lambda_{j\beta} - \lambda_{j\alpha})^{\theta_{j\alpha} + \theta_{j\beta} - 2}} \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\lambda_{nq} - \lambda_{np}) d\mathcal{L} = \\
& = (b - a)^{\sum_{j=1}^n (\sigma_j + \tau_j - 1) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \alpha \leq n-1} \theta_{j\alpha}} \times \\
& \times \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \alpha \leq n-1} \Gamma(\theta_{j\alpha}) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\sigma_j) \Gamma(\tau_j)}{\Gamma(\sigma_j + \tau_j + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{j-1} \theta_{(j-1)\alpha})}. \quad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

3.4. Trapezoids. We start from the integral

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{R}_n[0, \infty)} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq j, 1 \leq p \leq j+1} |\lambda_{j\alpha} - \lambda_{(j+1)p}|^{\kappa-1}}{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq j} (\lambda_{j\beta} - \lambda_{j\alpha})^{2\kappa-2}} \times \\
& \times \prod_{j=m}^{n-1} \prod_{\alpha=1}^j \frac{(\lambda_{j\alpha})^{\sigma_j - \sigma_{j+1} - \theta_{j\alpha}}}{(1 + \lambda_{j\alpha})^{\tau_j - \tau_{j+1} + \theta_{j\alpha}}} \cdot \prod_{p=1}^n \frac{\lambda_{np}^{\sigma_n - 1}}{(1 + \lambda_{np})^{\tau_n}} \times \\
& \times \prod_{j=m}^{n-1} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq j, 1 \leq p \leq j+1} |\lambda_{j\alpha} - \lambda_{(j+1)p}|^{\theta_{j\alpha}-1}}{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq j} (\lambda_{j\beta} - \lambda_{j\alpha})^{\theta_{j\alpha} + \theta_{j\beta} - 2}} \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\lambda_{nq} - \lambda_{np}) d\mathcal{L}, \quad (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

which is a partial case of integral (1.7) evaluated above. The integration in λ_{11}

is reduced to the evaluation of the integral

$$\int_{\lambda_{21}}^{\lambda_{22}} (\lambda_{11} - \lambda_{21})^{\varkappa-1} (\lambda_{22} - \lambda_{11})^{\varkappa-1} d\lambda_{11}.$$

After this integration, we have an integral over $\mathcal{R}_n^2[0, \infty)$ of the form (1.9). Now the integration in λ_{21} , λ_{22} is reduced to Lemma 2.7. Further, using the same Lemma 2.7 we integrate the last integral in λ_{31} , λ_{32} , λ_{33} etc. As a result, we obtain the integral (1.9) over $\mathcal{R}_n^m[0, \infty)$

§4. Maps from matrices to Rayleigh triangles

Here we prove Propositions 1.1–1.2, and reduce some more matrix integrals to the ultra-beta-integrals.

4.1. Proof of Proposition 1.1. Consider the space $\text{Herm}_{\mathbb{K}}(n)$ of Hermitian matrices T of size $n \times n$ over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$. Let us evaluate the distribution of the eigenvalues $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$ of the matrix T if the block $[T]_{n-1}$ is fixed.

Write T as a block $((n-1) + 1) \times ((n-1) + 1)$ matrix:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By this time we fixed T_{11} ; the block T_{12} ranges in the coordinate space $\mathbb{K}^{n-1}$ with the standard Lebesgue measure; the block T_{22} ranges in real numbers, at last, the block $T_{21} = T_{12}^*$ is completely defined by the block T_{12} .

Take a unitary matrix $g \in \text{U}(n-1, \mathbb{K})$, such that $\Lambda = gT_{11}g^{-1}$ is a diagonal matrix. Consider a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} T'_{11} & T'_{12} \\ T'_{21} & T'_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & gT_{12} \\ T_{21}g^{-1} & T_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

We observe that the distribution of the vector T'_{12} coincides with the distribution of the vector T_{12} , $T'_{22} = T_{22}$.

Thus, we reduce the problem to the following form. Let T has the form

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_{n-1} & x_{n-1} \\ \bar{x}_1 & \bar{x}_2 & \dots & \bar{x}_{n-1} & y \end{pmatrix},$$

where $x_\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$ be its eigenvalues. Denote by $\{dx\}$ the standard Lebesgue measure on the field $\mathbb{K}$ (see 1.2). *We must find the image of the measure $\{dx_1\} \dots \{dx_{n-1}\} dy$ under the map*

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y) \mapsto (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n). \quad (4.1)$$

Define the new variables

$$\xi_\alpha = |x_\alpha|; \quad \eta = y. \quad (4.2)$$

The image of the measure $\{dx_1\} \dots \{dx_{n-1}\} dy$ under the map (4.2), obviously, is

$$\frac{\pi^{(n-1)\theta}}{\Gamma^{n-1}(\theta)} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \xi_\alpha^{\theta-1} \cdot d\eta \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} d\xi_\alpha. \quad (4.3)$$

The characteristic equation for the matrix T has the form

$$\mu - \eta - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \frac{\xi_\alpha}{\mu - \lambda_\alpha} = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Since the roots of this equation are $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$, the left side of (4.4) can be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{\prod_{1 \leq p \leq n} (\mu - \mu_p)}{\prod_{1 \leq \beta \leq n-1} (\mu - \lambda_\beta)}.$$

From here, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_\alpha &= - \frac{\prod_{1 \leq p \leq n} (\mu_p - \lambda_\alpha)}{\prod_{1 \leq \beta \leq n-1, \beta \neq \alpha} (\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha)}, \\ \eta &= \sum_{1 \leq p \leq n} \mu_p - \sum_{1 \leq \beta \leq n-1} \lambda_\beta, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., we obtain the familiar change of the variables (2.9)–(2.10); in fact, this is the origin of the formulas (2.9)–(2.10).

Applying Lemma 2.3 and formula (4.3), we obtain that the distribution of the eigenvalues $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$ is

$$\frac{\pi^{(n-1)\theta}}{\Gamma^{n-1}(\theta)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{1 \leq p \leq n, 1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1} |\mu_p - \lambda_\alpha|^{\theta-1}}{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} (\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha)^{2\theta-2}} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\mu_q - \mu_p) \prod_{p=1}^n d\mu_p.$$

This implies Proposition 1.1.

4.2. Proof of Proposition 1.2. Consider the space $\text{Mat}_{n,n+k-1}(\mathbb{K})$ of $n \times (n+k-1)$ matrices.

Represent such matrix as a block $((n-1)+1) \times ((n-1)+k)$ matrix $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. Evaluate distribution of the eigenvalues of the matrix TT^* if the blocks T_{11}, T_{12} are fixed. For this, consider unitary matrices $h \in \text{U}(n-1, \mathbb{K})$,

$g \in \text{U}(n-1+k)$, such that the matrix $h \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \end{pmatrix} g$ has the form $(\Lambda \ 0)$, where Λ is a diagonal matrix. Consider the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} T'_{11} & T'_{12} \\ T'_{21} & T'_{22} \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \\ = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & 0 \\ T_{21} g_{11} + T_{22} g_{21} & T_{21} g_{12} + T_{22} g_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

We see that the block T'_{12} is zero and the vector-row $(T'_{21} \ T'_{22})$ is distributed as $(T_{21} \ T_{22})$.

Thus the problem is reduced to the following form. Fix a matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^{1/2} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^{1/2} & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_{n-1}^{1/2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_{n-1} & y_1 & \dots & y_k \end{pmatrix},$$

where $x_\alpha, y_r \in \mathbb{K}$, and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$ are real and nonnegative. Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$ be the eigenvalues of the matrix TT^* . We must find the image of the Lebesgue measure $\{dx_1\} \dots \{dx_{n-1}\} \{dy_1\} \dots \{dy_k\}$ under the map

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}; y_1, \dots, y_k) \mapsto (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n).$$

Now

$$TT^* = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda_1^{1/2} \bar{x}_1 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 & \lambda_2^{1/2} \bar{x}_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_{n-1} & \lambda_{n-1}^{1/2} \bar{x}_{n-1} \\ \lambda_1^{1/2} x_1 & \lambda_2^{1/2} x_2 & \dots & \lambda_{n-1}^{1/2} x_{n-1} & \sum |x_\alpha|^2 + \sum |y_l|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Define the new variables

$$\xi_\alpha = |x_\alpha|^2; \quad \eta = \sum_{l=1}^k |y_l|^2.$$

The image of the measure $\{dx_1\} \dots \{dx_{n-1}\} \{dy_1\} \dots \{dy_k\}$ under the map

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}; y_1, \dots, y_k) \mapsto (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, \eta),$$

obviously is

$$\frac{\pi^{(n-1)\theta}}{\Gamma^{n-1}(\theta)} \frac{\pi^{k\theta}}{\Gamma(k\theta)} \eta^{k\theta-1} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^n \xi_\alpha^{\theta-1} d\eta \prod d\xi_\alpha.$$

Now, the equation on the eigenvalues μ of the matrix TT^* has the form

$$\eta + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \xi_{\alpha} - \mu - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_{\alpha} \xi_{\alpha}}{\lambda_{\alpha} - \mu} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$ — be the roots of this equation; in other words we represent the left part of equation (4.6) in the form $\frac{\prod (\mu_p - \mu)}{\prod (\lambda_{\alpha} - \mu)}$. Obviously,

$$\xi_{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{\lambda_{\alpha}} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq p \leq n} (\mu_p - \lambda_{\alpha})}{\prod_{1 \leq \beta \leq n-1, \beta \neq \alpha} (\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha})}; \quad (4.6)$$

$$\eta + \sum \xi_{\alpha} = \sum \mu_p - \sum \lambda_{\alpha}. \quad (4.7)$$

LEMMA 4.1. *The following identity holds*

$$\eta = \frac{\prod_{p=1}^n \mu_p}{\prod_{\beta=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{\beta}}. \quad (4.8)$$

PROOF. Consider the function

$$U(x) = \frac{\prod (x - \mu_p)}{x \prod (x - \lambda_{\alpha})}.$$

Its residues are

$$\operatorname{res}_{x=\lambda_{\alpha}} U(x) = -\xi_{\alpha}; \quad \operatorname{res}_{x=0} U(x) = -\frac{\prod \mu_p}{\prod \lambda_{\alpha}}; \quad \operatorname{res}_{x=\infty} U(x) = \sum \mu_p - \sum \lambda_{\alpha}.$$

The sum of residues is 0. Comparing this with (4.8), we obtain (4.9). $\square$

Thus, ξ_{α} and η are expressed in terms of $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p$ by formulas (4.7), (4.9). The Jacobian of the transformation $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \mapsto (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, \eta)$ can be easily evaluated using the Cauchy determinant (2.19). As a result, we obtain

$$d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_{n-1} d\eta = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (\mu_q - \mu_p)}{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1} \lambda_{\alpha} \prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n-1} (\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha})} d\mu_1 \dots d\mu_n. \quad (4.9)$$

It remains to substitute (4.7), (4.9) and (4.10) to (4.5).

4.3. Matrix integrals. Let $m \leq n$. Denote by $B_{n,m}(\mathbb{K})$ the set of all matrices over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ of size $n \times m$ with norm < 1 ; the term 'norm' means the norm of an operator from the Euclidean space $\mathbb{K}^n$ to the Euclidean space $\mathbb{K}^m$. As above, let $\theta = \dim \mathbb{K}/2$.

Integral (3.3) and Proposition 1.2 obviously imply

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{n,m}(\mathbb{K})} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[\det(\{T\}_j \{T\}_j^*)^{\sigma_j - \sigma_{j+1} - \theta} \det(1 - \{T\}_j \{T\}_j^*)^{\tau_j - \tau_{j+1} - \theta} \right] \times \\
& \quad \times \det(TT^*)^{\sigma_n - (m-n+1)\theta} \det(1 - TT^*)^{\tau_n - 1} dT = \\
& \quad = \pi^{mn\theta} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\sigma_j) \Gamma(\tau_j)}{\Gamma(\sigma_j + \tau_j + (j-1)\theta) \Gamma((m-j+1)\theta)}. \quad (4.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Another partial case of integral (3.3) is (see Proposition 1.1) is the Gindikin beta-function (see [23], [15], [25])

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{T=T^*, 0 < T < 1} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \det[T]_j^{\sigma_j - \sigma_{j+1} - \theta} \det(1 - [T]_j)^{\tau_j - \tau_{j+1} - \theta} \times \\
& \quad \times \det T^{\sigma_n - 1} \det(1 - T)^{\tau_n - 1} dT = \pi^{n(n-1)\theta} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\sigma_j) \Gamma(\tau_j)}{\Gamma(\sigma_j + \tau_j + (j-1)\theta)}, \quad (4.11)
\end{aligned}$$

where the integration is given over the set of all the Hermitian matrices over $\mathbb{K}$ satisfying the condition $0 < T < 1$.

The Wishart–Gindikin matrix gamma-function

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\text{Pos}_{\mathbb{K}}(n)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \det[T]_j^{\sigma_j - \sigma_{j+1} - \theta} \exp\{-(\psi_j - \psi_{j+1}) \text{tr}[T]_j\} \times \\
& \quad \times \det T^{\sigma_n - 1} \exp\{-\psi_n \text{tr} T\} dt = \pi^{n(n-1)\theta} \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(\sigma_j) \psi_j^{-\sigma_j - (j-1)\theta} \quad (4.12)
\end{aligned}$$

is a partial case of the integral (3.1). Another partial case of (3.1) is the integral

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\text{Mat}_{\mathbb{K}}(n,m)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \det(\{T\}_j \{T\}_j^*)^{\sigma_j - \sigma_{j+1} - \theta} \exp\{-(\psi_j - \psi_{j+1}) \text{tr}\{T\}_j \{T\}_j^*\} \times \\
& \quad \times \det(TT^*)^{\sigma_n - \theta(n-m+1)} \exp\{-\psi_n \text{tr} TT^*\} dT = \\
& \quad = \pi^{mn\theta} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\sigma_j) \psi_j^{-\sigma_j - (j-1)\theta}}{\Gamma((m-j+1)\theta)} \quad (4.13)
\end{aligned}$$

The Mehta type integral (3.3) interpolates the matrix integrals

$$\int_{\text{Herm}_{\mathbb{K}}(n)} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\psi_j - \psi_{j+1}) \text{tr}[T]_j^2 - \frac{1}{2} \psi_n \text{tr} T^2\right\} dT.$$

However these integrals themselves are quite obvious, since the expression in the exponent is a diagonal quadratic form.

References

- [1] Hankel H., *Bestimmte Integrale mit Cylinder functionen*. Math. Ann., 8 (1876), 453–470.
- [2] Watson G.N. *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*. 2nd Ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1944
- [3] Olevskii M.N. *On representation of arbitrary function as an integral with a kernel containing a hypergeometric function* (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 69, N1, 11–14.
- [4] Koornwinder, T.H., *Jacobi functions and analysis on noncompact symmetric spaces*. in *Special functions: group theoretical aspects and applications*, eds. Askey, R., Koornwinder T.H., Schempp W., 1–85, D. Reidel Publ. Co., Dordrecht–Boston, 1984.
- [5] Neretin, Yu.A., *Index hypergeometric transform and imitation of analysis of Berezin kernels on hyperbolic spaces*, Mat. Sbornik , 192 (2001), No 3, 83–114; English transl.: Sbornik Math., 192:3, 403–432.
- [6] Heckman G.J., Opdam E.M. *Root systems and hypergeometric functions I*, Comp. Math., 64, (1987), 329–352.
- [7] Heckman G.J., Schlichtkrull H. *Harmonic analysis and special functions on symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, 1994
- [8] MacDonald I.G. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. 2nd Ed., Oxford, Clarendon Press,
- [9] Cherednik I. *Inverse Harish-Chandra transform and difference operators*, Int. Math. Res. Notes, 15 (1997), 733–750.
- [10] Cherednik I., Ostrik V. *From double affine Hecke algebra to Fourier transform*, Preprint, available via <http://arXiv.org/abs/math/0111130>
- [11] Pickrell, D., *Measures on infinite-dimensional Grassmann manifold*. J. Funct. Anal., 70 (1987), 323–356.
- [12] Neretin, Yu.A., *Hua type integrals over unitary groups and over inverse limits of unitary groups*, Duke Math. J. 114 (2002), 239–266, Preprint <http://arXiv.org/abs/math/0010014>
- [13] Borodin, A., Olshanski, G., *Infinite random matrices and ergodic measures*, Comm. Math. Phys., 223 (2001), 87–123.
- [14] Olshanski G. *Gelfand–Tsetlin schemes and measures of hypergeometric type*, to appear.
- [15] Gindikin, S.G., *Analysis on homogeneous spaces* (Russian), Uspehi mat. nauk, 19, No 4, 3–92 (1964);

- [16] Neretin, Yu.A., *Matrix analogs of B-function and Plancherel formula for Berezin kernel representations*, Mat. Sbornik, 191 (2000), 5, 67–100 (Russian); English transl.: Sbornik 191 (2000), 683–715 Preprint version is available via <http://arXiv.org/abs/math/9905045>
- [17] Bhatia R. *Matrix analysis*, Springer, NY, 1997.
- [18] Gantmakher F.R. *Lectures on analytic mechanics*. Moscow, Nauka, 1966
- [19] Gelfand, I.M., Naimark M.I., *Unitary representations of classical groups*. Trudy Mat.Inst. Steklov.,v.36 (1950) (Russian); German translation: Gelfand I.M., Neumark M.A., *Unitare Darstellungen der klassischen gruppen.*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1957.
- [20] Okounkov A., Olshanski G. *Shifted Jack polynomials, binomial formula, and applications*, Math. Res. Lett., 4 (1997), 69–78.
- [21] Kazarnovsky-Krol A., *Cycles for asymptotic solutions and the Weyl group*, Gelfand mathematical seminars 93-95, Boston, Birkhauser, 123-150, 1996
- [22] Ingham A.E. *An integral which occurs in statistics*, Proc. Cambr. Phil. Soc., 29 (1933), 271–276.
- [23] Siegel, C.L., *Über die analytische Theorie der quadratischen Formen*, Ann. Math. 36 (1935), 527-606
- [24] Hua Loo Keng, *Harmonic analysis of functions of several complex variables in classical domains*. Beijing, 1958(Chinese); Russian transl.: Moscow, Inostrannaya literatura (1959); English transl.: Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1963)
- [25] Faraut, J., Koranyi, A., *Analysis in symmetric cones*. Oxford Univ.Press, (1994)
- [26] Neretin Yu.A., *On separation of spectra in analysis of Berezin kernels* Funkts. Anal. Prilozh.,34 (2000), 3 (Russian); English transl.: Funct. Anal. Appl. 34 (2000), 197–207. Preprint version is available via <http://arXiv.org/abs/math/9906075>
- [27] Selberg A. *Bemerkninger om et multipelt integral*, Norske Mat. Tidsskr., 26, 71–78.
- [28] Kazarnovsky-Krol, A., *Matrix elements of vertex operators of the deformed WA_n algebras and the Harish-Chandra solutions to Macdonald's difference equations*, Selecta Math., New ser.,Basel, 5, 1-45, 1999.
- [29] Anderson G.W. *A short proof of Selberg's generalized beta-formula*, Forum Math., 3, 415–417
- [30] Andrews, G.R., Askey, R., Roy, R., *Special functions.*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999

Institute of the Theoretical and Experimental Physics,
B. Cheremushkinskaya, 25
Moscow 117259
Russia
`neretin@mccme.ru`